Irrigation with Magnetized Water, a Novel Tool for Improving Crop Production in Egypt

Mahmoud Hozayn¹, Amany A. Abdel-Monem² and Amira M.S. Abdul Qados³

Abstract

Agricultural sciences take an interest not only in the common and valued crop-forming factors, but also in those less expensive, safe environmentally and generally underestimated. The technology of magnetic water has been widely studied and been adopted in the field of agriculture in many countries (Australia, USA, China and Japan), but in Egypt available reviews on the application of magnetize water in agriculture is very limited. Therefore, the present work has been carried out to study the response of growth, yields, yield components and some chemical constituents of monocotyledonous (wheat and flax) and dicotyledonous (chick-pea and lentil) for irrigation with magnetized and tap water under green house conditions. Based on results out of our experiments, all crops, which had been irrigated with magnetic water, have shown a significant increase in vegetative growths, chemical constituents, i.e. photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carrotenoids), total phenols and total indole over the control plants. The magnetized water treatment showed an increase in the number of protein bands as compared to the control. Moreover, magnetized water treatments resulted in an increased yield and yield component traits of all crops. The increase in seeds' yield/plant in monocotyledonous crops went up to 10.00% and 33.33% used for flax and wheat, respectively and in dicotyledonous crops reached to 26.92% and 46.62%, for lentil and check-pea, respectively compared to crops that had been watered by tap water.

¹ Agronomy Department, Agricultural and Biology Division, National Research Centre, El-Boboth St., 12311 Dokki, Cairo, Egypt. (email: m hozien4@yahoo.com)

² Botany Department, Agricultural and Biology Division, National Research Centre, El-Bohoth St., 12311 Dokki, Cairo, Egypt

³Botany Department, Princess Nora Bint Abdul Rahman University

It appears that the preliminary study on the utilization of magnetized water can lead to an improvement in terms of quantity and quality of the crop production under Egyptian condition.

Keywords: Magnetized water; Growth; Chemical constituents; Yield; Monocot and dicot crops.

1. Introduction

Until 1980 there was scarce literature over the topic on how the magnetic field can stimulate plant growth or even prevent it. Wojcik [1995] reported that at the beginning of 1980s, a Japanese called Fujio Shimazaki working in Shimazaki Seed Company, was the first who reported that stationary magnetic fields can improve the germination of seeds and speed up the growth of plants.

The magnetic field influence on seeds of various crops and trees species increased the germination of seeds and improved their qualities [Aladjadjiyan, 2002]. The reason of this effect can be searched in the presence of paramagnetic properties in the chloroplast which causes an acceleration of seeds metabolism by magnetic treatment [Aladjadjiyan and Ylieve, 2003]. It was also shown that, MF affected various characteristics of plants like germination of seeds, root growth rate, seedlings growth, reproduction and growth of meristem cells and chlorophyll quantities [Namba et al., 1995; Atak et al., 1997 and Reina et al., 2001]. In addition to this magnetic field studies have been done with yield and yield parameters of crops like cereal, sunflower and soybean. In these studies the crop yield did increase [Özalpan et al., 1999; Yurttas et al., 1999 and Oldacay, 2002].

The effect of magnetic field on productivity of different crops has been studied by many authors [Phirek et al., 1996; Pietruszewski, 1999 a, b and c and Aladjadjiyan, 2002]. It has been established that the proper combination of magnetic field induction and exposure accelerates the early stages of plant development and improves the productivity. Consequently, the magnetic field effect can be used as an alternative to the chemical

methods of plant treatment for improving the production efficiency [Aladjadjiyan [2003]. Investigations of MFs on biological systems have demonstrated generalized increases in gene transcription and changes at the levels of specific mRNAs [Celik et al., 2008].

2. Material and Methods

A pot experiment was conducted on the screen of the Agronomy Department's Green House, National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza. Egypt during one successive winter's growth season in order to study the response of growth, yield and some plants' chemical constituents watered by with tap and magnetized water. Grains of monocotyledonous crops [wheat (var. Sakha-93 186) & flax (var. Sakha 2)] and dicotyledonous crops [check pea (var. Giza-4) & lentil (var. Sena-1)] were obtained from field crop Research Department, Field Crops Institute, Agriculture Research Centre, Giza, Egypt. Grains of each crop without visible defect, insect damage and malformation were selected and planted in ten pots (30 cm wide and 50 cm deep) containing a mixture of clay and sandy soil [2:1]. Half of the pots were irrigated at twice a week intervals with tap water, while the other 5 pots were irrigated with tap water after magnetization through one inch Magnetron [U.T. 3]. The recommended NPK fertilizers for each crop had been applied through the period of the whole experiment.

After 60 days of sowing plant height, a fresh and oven dry weight of 6 plants from each crop were determined. Photosynthetic Pigments (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoids) of leaves were determined spectrophotometrically as the method described by Moran[1982]. Total indole acetic acid (IAA) as described by Larsen et al., [1962], and total phenol, as described by Malik and Singh [1980], were estimated in the fresh shoots. Electrophoresis protein profile of leaves was analyzed according to sodium dodocylsulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis [SDS-PAGE] technique [Sheri, et al., 2000]. Molecular protein markers, percentage of band intensity and molecular weight of each

polypeptide were related to standard markers using gel protein analyzer version 3 [MEDIA CYBERNE TICE, USA].

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS program Version 16. A student test (Independent *t*-test) was taken to find significant differences between magnetic and nonmagnetic water treatments.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Growth parameters

Changes due to growth of plants (plant height, fresh & dry weight per plant and water content) exposed to magnetic field are shown in Table [1]. It is obvious that, magnetic treatment increased growth [plant height, fresh and dry weights/plant and water content] significantly over the untreated plant in both monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants. The increase percentage in fresh weight/plant of monocotyledonous plants are ranged between 15.9 - 52.61% and between 8.26 - 43.21% in dry weight/plant of wheat and flax plants, respectively. The increase percentage in fresh and dry weight/plant of dicotyledonous plants ranged between 11.36 – 17.86% and 4.28 – 15.94% in chick pea and lentil plants, respectively as compared to plants watered by tap water. Water content was the least affected parameter in both types of crops where the increase percentage ranged between 0.66 - 2.63 in all four crops [monocot. or dicot.]. It is worthy to mention here that, the increase percentage in growth parameters which reflected in fresh and dry weight/plant in this study showed that, monocotyledonous plants [wheat and flax] surpassed dicotyledonous plants [chick pea and lentil] as a consequence of magnetized irrigation.

The stimulatory effect of Magnetic water may be attributed to the role of magnetic and their power of increasing absorption and assimilation of nutrients which, at turn, increases plant's growth. These results find themselves in a good harmony with several investigators who found that in analyzed Paulownia based tissue cultures and showed the positive effect of magnetic fields on regeneration percentage [Yaycılı and Alikamanoğlu, 2005]. Also, Alikamanoğlu et al. [2007] suggested that magnetic water treatment improved seed inhibition, strengths and germination

rate, while seedling treatment promoted NPK absorption and increased root numbers, stem thickness, dry weight/100 plants and tillers number. Moreover, Celik et al. [2008] and Nasher [2008] concluded that, magnetized water increased growth and considered an important factor for inducing chick pea plant's growth. The stimulatory effect of MW on growth's criteria of this study may also be attributed to the increase in photosynthetic pigment, endogenous promoters (IAA), total phenol [Table 2] and an increase in protein biosynthesis [Table 4]. In this connection, Shabrangi and Majd [2009] concluded that, the increase of biomass needs metabolic changes particularly for increasing protein biosynthesis.

Table 1. Response of moncot and dicot crops growth at 60 days after sowing for irrigation with magnetic and normal water under green house conditions.

*, ** t is Significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respective	ly, ns:
non significant.	

Treatment		Moncotyledones crops													
	Whaet							Flax							
Character	2008/09 season			2009/20	010 season		2008/09 season			2009/2010 season					
	Tap water	Magnetic water	t-sign.	Tap water	Magnetic water	t-sign.	Tap water	Magnetic water	t-sign.	Tap water	Magnetic water	t-sign.			
Plant height (cm)	20.75	24.12	**	26.20	29.20	**	24.00	25.00	ns	26.20	28.26	8-8			
Fresh weight (g plant 1)	0.68	0.98	**	0.79	1.21	**	0.61	0.71	**	0.79	0.93	**			
Dry weight (g plant '1)	0.17	0.23	**	0.21	0.29	**	0.15	0.16	ns	0.21	0.24	ns			
Water contents (%)	75.00	76.53	ns	74.04	75.60	ns	75.47	77.09	ns	74.10	74.19	ns			
					Dinc	otyledones	crops								
			Len	til					Chicl	k-pea					
Plant height (cm)	15.20	18.40	*	17.16	21.00	**	20.40	23.60	*	24.20	26.20	ns			
Fresh weight (g plant 'l)	0.56	0.66	**	0.67	0.79	*	1.39	1.58	8-8	1.55	1.73	**			
Dry weight (g plant '1)	0.17	0.19	**	0.24	0.27	ns	0.32	0.35	ns	0.37	0.38	*			
Water contents (%)	70.12	70.61	ns	64.18	65.49	ns	76.98	77.85	ns	75.93	77.93	**			

3.2. Chemical constituents

Photosynthetic pigments (Chlorophyll a, Chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll a+b and carotenoids), total phenols and total indole contents in plant shoots exhibited a great increasing after magnetized water irrigation than control treatment [plants irrigated with tap water] as shown in Table [2]. The magnitude of increments in total pigment content ranged from 15.25 – 31.45

% in monocot. [wheat and flax] and from 16.64-21.4 % in dicot [chick pea and lentil], respectively. Total phenol content was increased by 18.2-33.59 % in monocot and by 20.0-39.02 % in dicot, respectively. The results have also shown that total indole acetic acid content of monocot plants irrigated with magnetic water increased by 33.35-233.5 %, while their content in dicot plants increased by 8.66-148.19 %.

Table 2. Effect of magnetic water on chemical constituents of some monocot and dicot plants at 60 days after sowing.

*, ** t is Significant	at the 0.05	and 0.01 levels	respectively, ns:
non significant.			

	Treatment	Monocotyledons crops							Dicotoyledons crops						
	Treatment		Wheat			Flax			Chick-pea			Lentil			
	Character	Tap water	Magnetic water	t-sign.	Tap water	Magnetic water	t-sign.	Tap water	Magnetic water	t-sign.	Tap water	Magnetic water	t-sign.		
a →	Chlorophyll a	8.235	9.684	**	6.130	7.200	**	5.720	7.239	**	3.711	4.215	*		
Photosynsetic pigments mg 100 g fresh weight	Chlorophyll b	4.973	5.539	ns	2.360	3.960	**	3.071	3.741	**	1.247	1.804	*		
nsetic p g fresh	Chlorophyll a+b	13.208	15.223	**	8.490	11.160	**	8.791	10.980	**	4.958	6.019	*		
notosyn g 100 g	Carotoneiods	5.672	5.844	ns	4.600	4.993	ns	4.483	4.502	ns	4.773	4.902	ns		
Pho mg	Total pigments	26.417	30.446	**	16.980	22.320	非非	13.274	15.482	**	9.916	12.038	ns		
(mg	Total phenol g 100 g fresh weight ⁻¹)	215.619	288.051	**	208.190	246.073	**	312.287	434.130	**	179.177	215.017	**		
(µg	Total Indols 100 g fresh weight ⁻¹)	2.937	9.796	**	1.195	1.594	非非	1.258	1.367	**	0.828	2.055	**		

These results can be read as the effect of MT in altering the key of cellular processes such as gene transcription which play an important role just as in altering cellular processes. The same result can be considered as a consequence of an increase in growth's promoters [IAA Table 2]. The same result was obtained by Tian et al. [1991] and Atak et al. [2000] who found an increase in chlorophyll content which appeared just after a brief exposure to a magnetic field. Moreover, Atak et al. [2003] suggested that the increase of all photosynthetic pigments was due to an increase in cytokinin synthesis that had been induced by MF. They also added cytokinin play an important role on chloroplast development, shoot formation, axillary's bud growth, and induction of number of genes involved in the chloroplast development nutrient metabolism. Atak et al. [2003] showed that

an increase in shoot regeneration, chloroplast rate, root formation and fresh weight was accompanied by an increase in auxin synthesis which was induced by MF treatment based on soybean plants. Moreover, Goodman et al. [1995] and Atak et al. [2003] described the role of MF in changing the characteristics of cell membrane, affecting the cell reproduction and causing some changes in cell metabolism. Therefore, the increase in total phenol under this study may be attributed to the role of MT in changing the cell membrane properties. Also, Carimi et al. [2002] and Celik et al. [2008] conclude that, MF stimulates protein synthesis though an increase of cytokinins and auxins as these can promote chloroplast's maturation. Growth, development and plants productivity are usually affected by photosynthetic pigments activity. Magnetic fields are known to induce biochemical changes and could be used as stimulators for growth related reactions including affecting photosynthetic pigments [Dhawi and Al-Khayri, 2009].

3.3. Protein electrophoresis' pattern

The changes in protein electrophoresis' pattern of plant leaves treated with magnetic water are analyzed and recorded in Table [3]. In the control leaves the separation of 12, 13, 15 and 11 protein bands appeared in wheat, flax, chick pea and lentil, respectively. Their molecular weights ranged between 346 K Da. and 20 K Da. Magnetic water treatment of plants showed an increase in the number of protein bands to 16, 21, 22 and 16 bands in wheat, flax, chick pea and lentil, respectively. These results indicate that plants' leaves treated with magnetic water revealed that certain bands disappeared and new ones appeared as compared with those of the control plant [Table 3]. The six new protein bands appeared in wheat at molecular weights 340, 194, 116, 88, 57 and 22 KDa. The new nine protein bands appeared in flax at molecular weights 301, 267, 223, 210, 113, 107, 98, 59 and 45 KDa. Also, the new protein bands appeared in chick pea at molecular weights 314, 248, 235, 226, 192, 135, 49 and 32 KDa. While in lentils new protein bands appeared at molecular weights 332, 307, 301, 93, 75, 55 and 38 KDa.

Table 3: The relative area percentage of protein bands in leaves at 60 days after sowing of some monocot and dicot plants irrigated with magnetized and normal water

M wt. Monocot Dicot K.Da. Wheat Flax Chickpea Lentil 342 4.54 2.24 1.76 1.83 339 5.28 2.54 3.58 327 5.07 4.16 3.54 2.51 2.44 3.61 2.72 323 1.47 2.58 322 16.97 1.46 2.32 316 4.49 3.03 307 3.42 2.00 301 1.27 286 8.73 8.21 2.43 3.03 3.22 267 253 8.21 7.34 2.37 3.26 245 10.23 12.75 3.15 1.31 233 4.61 2.51 224 3.65 10.32 2.40 204 2.68 189 2.32 2.32 146 13.79 6.80 7.40 7.60 4.23 5.38 8.60 4.97 1.99 4.55 2.15 135 125 2.06 2.06 6.17 114 6.11 3.86 107 2.56 5.49 10.35 95 87 4.55 15.70 4.27 5.24 73 6.21 2.75 7.85 7.70 66 9.67 9.81 6.89 17.32 2.85 3.92 6.77 6.15 6.05 56 2.76 52 8.08 10.61 6.03 5.69 8.11 4.73 11.22 13.30 47 10.44 14.96 45 15.47 12.84 5.96 10.45 7.76 41 11.36 4.75 8.80 7.17 37 5.16 7.26 2.45 8.79 6.15 6.59 35 5.34 2.25 33 11.67 9.23 13.80 12.60 10.68 18.31 16.63 30 5.60 8.47 4.24 20 9.61 4.95 8.93 Band 15 11 13 21 15 22 11 16 number 9 Number of new band 6 7

On the other hand, protein bands at molecular weights 51 and 37 K Da in wheat, at 56 K KDa in chick pea and at 127 and 20 K Da. In lentil bands disappeared after magnetic water treatment. The induction of new protein bands in response to MWT may be as a result of the effect of MFs in increasing proliferation, gene

as a result of the effect of MFs in increasing proliferation, gene expression and protein biosynthesis [Tenford, 1996]. Also, Celik et al. [2008] found that the increase in the percentage of plant regeneration is due to the effect of MF's cell division and protein synthesis in Paulownia node cultures and concluded that investigations of MF on biological systems have demonstrated generalized increases in gene transcription and changes in levels of specific mRNAs. Moreover, Shabrangi and Majd [2009] concluded that, biomass increasing needs metabolic changes particularly increasing protein biosynthesis. They also add a magnetic field known as "environmental factor" which affect gene expression. Therefore, by increasing biological reactions like protein synthesis, biomass would increase too.

3.4. Yield and yield component:

With respect to the effect of MT on the yield and yield component of monocot and dicot plants data in Table [4a and 4b] cleared that MT increased all yield characters in all crops over the untreated controls. The percentage of increase in seed yield/plant reached to 10 - 33.33 % in monocotyledonous crops [flax and wheat, respectively] and to 26.92 - 46.62 % in dicotyledonous crops [lentil and chick pea, respectively] over untreated controls.

It is worthy to mention that, by contrast to growth results, the increase percentage in all yield parameters, which reflected in the seed yield/plant in this study, showed that dicotyledonous plants [chick pea and lentil] surpassed monocotyledonous plants [wheat and flax] as a response to magnetic treatments. These results may be attributed to the increase percentage of photosynthetic pigment and growth promoters [total IAA] in monocot which surpassed dicot as shown in Table 2. where the magnitude of the increase in total pigment content ranged from 15.25 – 31.45 % in monocot. [wheat and flax] and from 16.64 – 21.4 % in dicot [chick pea and lentil] respectively. Also, total indole acetic acid

content of monocot plants watered by magnetic water increased by 33.35 - 233.5 %, while their content in dicot plants increased by 8.66 - 148.19 %, respectively.

Table 4a. Response of wheat and flax yield and its harvest components after magnetic and normal water irrigation.

*, ** t is Significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively, ns: non significant.

Treatment		Wheat								
	2008/0	9 season		2009/20	010 season					
Character	Tap water	Magnetic water	t-sign.	Tap water	Magnetic water	t-sign.				
Plant height (cm)	39.80	47.00	*	56.40	59.60	*				
Spike length (cm)	5.00	6.60	**	8.50	9.20	**				
Spike weight (g)	0.48	0.53	**	0.64	0.75	**				
Spikeletes no spike -1	9.00	12.00	**	14.40	16.00	**				
100 -grain weight (g)	4.04	4.31	ns	4.14	4.42	ns				
Grain yield (g tiller -1)	0.30	0.40	**	0.75	0.97	**				
Straw yield (g tiller ⁻¹)	0.59	0.80	**	0.93	1.06	**				
Biological yield (g tiller ⁻¹)	0.89	1.20	**	1.68	2.03	**				
HI (%)	33.63	33.33	ns	44.64	47.78	ns				
			Fl	ax						
Plant height (cm)	56.80	58.20	*	58.30	61.40	**				
Tecenical length (cm)	43.40	48.80	*	48.50	51.60	**				
Based branches (number plant -1)	2.40	2.80	ns	2.60	2.84	ns				
Fruit Branches (number plant -1)	5.60	6.00	ns	6.20	6.44	ns				
Cabsules (number plant ⁻¹)	9.20	10.80	ns	10.40	11.60	ns				
Cabsules weight (g plant ⁻¹)	0.44	0.53	*	0.53	0.57	ns				
Seed (number cabsula -1)	8.00	8.40	ns	8.26	9.28	**				
Seeds (number plant ⁻¹)	73.60	90.72	**	85.68	107.46	**				
100 -seed weight (g)	0.68	0.70	ns	0.69	0.72	ns				
Seed yield (g plant -1)	0.32	0.35	*	0.34	0.37	ns				

significant.

Table 4b. Response of lentil and chick-pea yield and its harvest components after magnetic and normal water irrigation.

*, ** t is Significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively, ns: non

Treatment			Le	ntil			Chick-pea						
	2008/09 season			2009/2010 season			2008/0	2008/09 season		2009/2010 season			
	Tap water	Magnetic water	t-sign.	Tap water	Magnetic water	t-sign.	Tap water	Magnetic water	t-sign.	Tap water	Magnetic water	t-sign.	
Plant height (cm)	16.40	20.60	**	23.20	25.60	*	28.40	35.20	**	32.40	41.80	**	
Branches (number plant ⁻¹)	2.71	3.60	*	3.32	3.92	*	2.47	3.23	**	3.20	4.40	**	
Pods (number plant ⁻¹)	4.78	6.40	**	6.76	8.40	**	6.60	8.81	ns	7.60	11.50	**	
Pods weight (g plant ⁻¹)	0.63	0.72	*	0.74	0.88	**	1.86	2.59	**	1.96	2.76	**	
Seeds (number plant ⁻¹)	8.75	10.50	**	10.66	12.34	**	6.89	9.50	**	7.13	10.20	**	
100-seed weight (g)	5.20	5.62	**	5.45	5.69	**	18.16	19.03	**	19.13	19.17	ns	
Seed yield (g plant ⁻¹)	0.52	0.66	**	0.63	0.78	**	1.36	1.77	**	1.43	2.10	**	
Starw yield (g plant ⁻¹)	0.54	0.71	**	0.75	0.91	*	1.43	1.91	**	1.98	2.94	**	
Biological yield (g plant ⁻¹)	1.06	1.37	**	1.38	1.69	**	2.79	3.68	**	3.41	5.04	**	

Generally, the stimulatory effect of magnetic treatment may be attributed to their role in increasing growth [Table 1], photosynthetic pigment and growth promoters [Table 2] consequently increasing yield characters. These results are conformed with those obtained by Tian et al. [1991] who indicated that MW increased yield of rice by 13.23%. This accompanied MW's stimulation effect on leaf chlorophyll content. Kordas [2002] found that, the exposure of green tops and root systems of wheat plant to MF increased quantity of coarse grain by 10.6% and 6.3% respectively. In this connection, Dodlesny et al. [2004, 2005] suggested that the gain in seed yield, resulting from a pre-sowing treatment of seeds with MF for broad bean and pea, was due to a higher number of pods per plant and a fewer plant losses in the unit area during the growing season. Moreover, Souza et al. [2006] showed that MT on tomato increased significantly the mean fruit weight, fruit yield/plant, fruit yield per area and the equatorial fruits diameter in comparison to controls. Moreover, MF was shown to induce fruit yield per plant and average fruit weight [Celik et al., 2008]. Exposure of plants to MW is highly effective in enhancing growth characteristics. This observation suggests that there may be resonance-like phenomena which increase the internal energy of the seed. Therefore, it may be possible to get higher yield [Vashisth *et al.*, 2008 and Shabrangi and Majd, 2009] on chickpea and lentil respectively.

4. Conclusion

To summarize, growth parameter and yield components of monocotyledonous (wheat and flax) and dicotyledonous (chick pea and lentil) plants increase concomitantly when plants are treated with magnetic water through increasing photosynthetic pigment; endogenous total indole, total phenol and protein synthesis. The variation in plants' response should need continuous efforts from researchers to explore the mode of magnetic treatment action in monocot and dicot crops.

References

Aladjadjiyan A. 2002. Study of the Influence of Magnetic Field on Some Biological Characteristics of *Zea mais*. J. of Central Europ. Agric. 3(2). 89-94.

Aladjadjiyan A. 2003. Use of physical factors as an alternative to chemical amelioration. J. Environ. Protec. and Ecol., 4 (3): 662-667.

Aladjadjiyan A, Ylieva T 2003. Influence of stationary magnetic field on the early stages of the development of tobacco seeds (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.). Journal of Central European Agriculture ,132 ,4 (2): 131-138.

Alikamanoğlu S, Yaycılı O, Atak Ç, Rzakoulieva A. 2007. Effect of magnetic field and gamma radiation on paulowinia tomentosa tissue culture. J. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment, 21 (1)

Atak C, Danilov V, Yurttas B, Yalçın S, Mutlu D, Rzakoulieva A. 1997. Effects of magnetic field on soybean (*Glycine max* L.Merrill) seeds. Com JINR. Dubna, 1-13.

Atak Ç, Danilov V, Yurttafl B, Yalçın S, Mutlu D, Rzakoulieva A. 2000. Effect of magnetic field on Paulownia seeds. Com JINR. Dubna. 1-14.

Atak C, Emiroglu O, Aklimanoglu S, Rzakoulieva A. 2003. Stimulation of regeneration by magnetic field in soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) tissue cultures. J Cell Mol. Biol., 2:113–119.

Atak C, Celik O, Olgun A, Alikamanolu S, Rzakoulieva A. 2007. Effect of magnetic field on peroxidase activities of soybean tissue culture. Biotechnology; 21: 166-71.

Carimi F, Zottini M, Formentin E, Terzi M, Schiaw FL, 2002. Cytokinins new apoptotic inducers in plants, Planta 216 (3): 413-421.

Çelik Ö, Atak C, Rzakulieva A. 2008. Stimulation of rapid regeneration by a magnetic field in paulownia node cultures. Journal of Central European Agriculture, 9 (2): 297 – 303.

De Souza A, Garcia D, Sueiro L, Gilart F, Porras E, Licea L. 2006. Pre-sowing magnetic treatments of tomato seeds increase the growth and yield of plants. Bioelectromagnetics, 27, 247-257.

Dhawi F, Al-Khayri JM. 2009. Magnetic fields induce changes in photosynthetic pigments content in date palm (*phoenix dactylifera* L.). Seedlings the open agriculture journal, 3, 1-5.

Goodman EM, Greenabaum B, Morron TM. 1995. Effects of electromagnetic fields on molecules and cells. International Review of Cytology, 158: 279-325.

Kordas L. 2002. the effect of magnetic field on growth, development and the yield of spring wheat. Polish journal of environmental studies, 11 (5): 527-530.

Larsen P, Harbo, A, Klungron S, Ashein TA 1962. On the biosynthesis of some indole compounds in Acetobacter xylinum. Physiol Plant. 15: 552-565.

Malik CP, MB Singh 1980. Plant Enzymology and Histoenzymology. Kalyani Publishers. New Delhi.

Moran R. 1982. Formulae for determination of chlorophyllous pigments extracted with N, N- dimethylformamide. Plant Physiol. 69:1371-1381.

Namba K Sasao A, Shibusawa S. 1995. Effect of magnetic field on germination and plant growth. Acta Horticulture. 399: 143-147.

Nasher SH. (2008). The Effect of magnetic water on growth of chick-pea seeds Eng. & Tech. 26,(9):4 pages.

Oldacay S, Erdem G. 2002. Evaluation of chlorophyll contents and peroxides activities in (*Helianthus annuus* L.) genotypes exposed to radiation and magnetic field. Pakistan Journal *of* Applied Science, 2(10): 934-937.

Özalpan A, Atak C, Yurttas B, Alikamanoglu S, Canbolat Y, Borucu H, Danilov V, Rzakoulieva A. 1999. Effect of magnetic field on soybean yield (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). Turkish Association of Biophysics, XI National Biophysics Congress, Abstract Book, pp: 60.

Phirke PS, Kudbe AB, Umbarkar SP. 1996. The influence of magnetic field on plant growth. Seed Sci. Technol., 24, 375-392.

Pietruszewski S. 1999 b. Influence of pre-sowing magnetic biostimulation on germination and yield of wheat. Int. Agrophysics, 13, 241-244.

Pietruszewski S. 1999 c. Magnetic treatment of spring wheat seeds (in Polish). Rozprawy NaukoweARin Lublin, 220, 1-55.

Pietruszewski ST. 1999 a. Effect of alternating magnetic field on germination, growth and yield of plant seeds. Inzynieria Rolnicza 5(11), 209-215.

Podlesny J, Pietruszewski S, Podlesna A. 2005. Influence of magnetic stimulation of seeds on the formation of morphological features and yielding of the pea. Int Agrophys 19: 1–8.

Podlesny J, Pietruszewski S, Podleoena A. 2004. Efficiency of the magnetic treatment of broad bean seeds cultivated under experimental plot conditions. Int. Agrophysics, 2004, 18, 65 - 71.

Renia FG, Pascual LA, Fundora IA. 2001. Influence of a Stationary Magnetic Field on Water Relations in Lettuce Seeds. Part II: Experimental Results Bioelectromagnetics 22:596-602.

Shabrangi A, Majd A. 2009. Effect of Magnetic Fields on Growth and Antioxidant Systems in Agricultural Plants PIERS Proceedings, Beijing, China, March 23-27.

Sheri LH, Ncolas ES, Michae TK Joanna BG. 2000. Comparison of protein expressed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains representing initial and chronic isolates from a cystic fibrosis patient: an analysis by 2 – D gel electrophoresis and capillary coloumn liquid chromatograph tandem mass spectrometry. Microbiology. 146: 2495 – 2508.

Tenforde TS 1996. Interaction of ELF magnetic field with living systems. In: Handbook of biological effects of electromagnetic fields. Polk C, Postow E. (Ed). Second Edition, CRC Press, pp:185-230.

Tian WX, Kuang YL, Mei ZP (1991). Effect of magnetic water on seed germination, seedling growth and grain yield of rice. Field Crop Abstracts. 044-07228.

Vashisth A. and Nagarajan, S. 2008. Exposure of seeds to static magnetic field enhances germination and early growth characteristics in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Bioelectromagnetics 29:571-578.

Wo'jcik S. 1995. Effect of the pre-sowing magnetic biostimulation of the Buckwheat seeds on the yield and chemical composition of Buckwheat grain. Current Adv Buckwheat Res 93:667–674.

Yaycılı O, Alikamanoğlu S. 2005. The effect of magnetic field on Paulownia tissue cultures. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture 83 (1): 1109-114.

Yurttas B, Atak C, Gökdoan G, Canbolat Y, Danilov V, Rzakoulieva A. 1999. Detection of the positive effect of magnetic field on sunflower plants (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Turkish Association of Biophysics, XI National Biophysics Congress, Abstract Book, pp. 59.